

# Audit & Compliance Committee Meeting Date: Monday, November 7, 2016 Time: 3:00 PM

Conference Call

Committee Members: Trustee Nicole Washington, Chair

Trustee Jaylen J. Smith, Trustee Gary T. McCoy, Trustee Craig Reed, Trustee Robert Woody

### **AGENDA**

I. Call to Order **Chair Nicole Washington** II. Roll Call Debra M. Barrington **ACTION ITEMS** III. Approval of Minutes - September 14, 2016 IV. **Accept Audit Reports** Chair Washington a. Rattler Boosters b. Financial Aid Process Review V. Amend 2016-17 Work Plan **INFORMATION ITEMS** VI. Update related to pending DAC issues VII. Follow up of prior audit findings VIII. Status of Athletic Department Corrective Action Plan and Budget Adjournment Chair Nicole Washington



Division of Audit & Compliance Tuesday, September 14, 2016, 2:30 PM

### Minutes

Members Present: Trustee Nicole Washington, Chairman

Trustee Jaylen Smith, Trustee Gary T. McCoy, Trustee Craig Reed (absent), Trustee Robert Woody

Trustee Nicole Washington, Chair, called the meeting to order.

Debra M. Barrington, Administrative Assistant to the Vice President, called the roll. A quorum was established.

Trustee Nicole Washington, Chair, led the meeting. There are three (3) Action Items on the agenda. The *first was the* minutes of Thursday, June 9 and Tuesday, August 23, 2016 Audit Committee meetings. It was properly motioned by Trustee Woody and seconded by Trustee Jaylen Smith for approval of the minutes. Motion carried.

The second Action Item was the approval of 2016-17 University Risk Assessment performed for the purpose of developing the Internal Audit Work Plan. The Audit Committee held an Informational Workshop on the risk assessment at the August 23, 2016 audit committee meeting, and VP Givens presented a detailed outline of the Division's Risk Assessment processes and objectives. It was properly motioned by Jaylen Smith and seconded by Trustee Robert Trustee that the Risk Assessment be approved. The motion carried.

The third "Action Item" was the approval of the 2016-17 Division of Audit and Compliance's Work Plan. The Proposed Work Plan is based on the high risk areas identified in the Risk Assessment and the Division's professional judgement. There is a three (3) year map of planned audits which are analyzed annually.

Vice President Givens gave a brief overview of the proposed work plan. It was properly motioned by Jaylen Smith and seconded by Trustee Robert Woody to approve the 2016-17 Division of Audit and Compliance Work Plan. The motion carried.

Chair Washington acknowledged a memo was received from Alan Levine, who is the Chair for the Audit and Compliance Committee of the Board of Governors. The letter indicated that, in order for the audit function to maintain independence, best practice is for a university's audit and compliance functions to be separate from operational committees such as budget and finance. Chair Washington followed up with Chair Levine and he confirmed he is happy with the University's Audit function and Audit Committee current status.

Chair Washington reported on the Division of Audit and Compliance Annual Report; the full report is in the meeting materials. The Division is required to produce this Annual Report which outlines the audits, follow-ups, consultations and the advisory services that are conducted during the prior fiscal year. The report also provides the detail break down of how the Division's work is allocated and includes some assurances regarding their investigations and the integrity of their work.

Vice President Givens gave an update regarding the significant changes made to the proposed BOG Regulations as a result of a workshop held in June. The BOG has proposed four regulations related to the audit and compliance areas. It is expected that the BOG will approve the regulations for official notice at its September meeting.

Trustee Jaylen Smith inquired about procedures in place to ensure that the Division remains autonomous; and that the autonomy is respected.

VP Givens responded that the Division has remained independent and the information is included in the *Charters*. The Division reports functionally to the Board and administratively to the President, which is considered best practice.

Trustee Bettye Grable asked for clarification as to whether the whistle-blower regulation was in place and, if so, how they are currently handled.

VP Givens indicated the whistle-blower law is in place and that the proposed regulation designates the chief audit executive as the one responsible for making required determinations under the whistle-blower act, and that is the process currently being used by the University. Typically each complaint comes through Audit and Compliance. They also go through other offices. Each complaint is evaluated to determine whether it meets the requirements to be a whistle-blower.

The meeting was adjourned by Trustee, Chair Washington.



### **ACTION ITEM**

Meeting	Date November 7, 2016		Agenda Item	IV.
	Item Ori	gination and Authorization		
Change O	<i>'</i> —	Award of Bid	Budget Amendment	<u> </u>
Other X	Resolution Cor	ntract	Grant	
		Action of Board		
Approved	_Approved w/ Conditions _	Disapproved	Continued	Withdrawn

Subject: Acceptance of the audit reports for the Rattler Boosters and Financial Aid Process Review

Rationale: To accept the results of the audit of transactions of the Rattler Boosters, Inc., and the audit of the Financial Aid process review.

Recommendation: The Audit Reports be accepted.



### **ACTION ITEM**

Meet	ing Date <u>N</u>	ovember 7, 2016				A	genda Ite	em y	
	-	ltem	Origina	ation and Au	thorizatio	n			
Pol	icy A	ward of Bid		Budget A	mendmei	nt	Change	Order	
Resolution_	_	Contract		Gra	nt		Other <u>X</u>	<u> </u>	
				Action of B	oard	_			
Approved	_ Approved	d w/ Conditions _	_	Disapproved	I	Continue	d	Withdrawn	·
<b>Subject:</b> Am	nend the Di	vision's work plai	n to incl	lude a review	of band	eligibility	and Trave	el Complianc	e
		nat University offi nt Handbook are			ıcademic	eligibility	requirem	ents as desc	ribed
Recommend Compliance.		end the Division'	's Work	Plan to inclu	de a revie	w of band	d eligibilit	y and Travel	



### **Update Pending DAC Issues**

### **External Audit Rattler Boosters**

A Request for Proposal for an audit of the Rattler Boosters, Inc. was sent to 16 firms on October 31, 2016. Responses to the RFP are due November 21, 2016. It is expected that the firm will be selected by December 9, 2016 and a report due by February 28, 2017.

### Finding Follow – up – as of October 31, 2016

From 2013 to August 31, 2016, there were 69 previously reported findings/control deficiencies that were to be corrected as of September 30, 2016. A summary of the status of implementation of corrective actions as of October 31, 2016 is presented below:

- 33 (48%) have been fully corrected
- 9 (13%) have been partially corrected
- 17 (25%) have not been corrected
  - 6 relate to use of the design-build construction method, which the University discontinued after the project (high risk)
  - o 11 findings with a risk classification of moderate
- 7 (10%) were corrected with a significantly different corrective action plan
- 3 (4%) were deemed to warrant no further action

# STATUS OF SIGNIFICANT FINDINGS

security	IRattler	AUDIT
	Hìgh	FINDING
of documentation of network security needed improvement. We are not disclosing specific details of the issues to avoid the possibility of compromising the University's data and IT resources. However, we have notified appropriate University management of the specific issues. Without appropriate security, controls, the confidentiality, integrity, and availability of data and IT resources may be compromised, increasing the risk that University data and IT resources may be subject to improper disclosure, modification, or destruction.	Certain security controls in the areas	FINDING DESCRIPTION
observation is not being disclosed as it relates to a confidential or sensitive matter. The Division of Audit and Compliance has reviewed the plan to ensure that it adequately addresses the control deficiency identified during the course of the audit engagement.	The corrective action plan for this	MANAGEMENT'S ACTION PLAN
Technology Services	Information	DEPARTMENT
	Jun-16	ORIGINAL IMPLEMENTATION
core versions were completed. ITS is working to include all recent changes and upgrades to provide a complete 2016 version.	The 2013 and the 2016	COMMENTS
	Corrected	
	N/A	NEW IMPLEMENTATION DATE

	_													Genera	Auditor	108	2014-
-			_											<u>a</u>	or —		
																	High
													program is self-supporting.	implemented to assure that athletic	reduction plan should be	is operating at a deficit. A deficit	The intercollegiate athletic program
													basis.	reported to the BOT on a quarterly	The status of the plan will be	submitted to the BOT for approval.	A revised plan will be developed and
												Services	Administrative	Ŗο	and Financial	Department	Athletic
																	Sep-14
will reported to the JLAC.	finding is reported, which	consecutive time the	audit. This will be third	General's operational	reported in the Auditor	finding most likely will be	athletics finances. This	closely monitor the	implemented to more	review process is to be	A 3-year budget and cash	available to pay the debt.	revenue sources	including identification of	debt was developed,	deficit and pay off the	A plan to reduce the
																corrected	Partially
																2016-17 year	Beginning in the

																			Funding	ance	Perform	CT07
												_										High
separation of incompatible duties.	privileges enforce an appropriate	job duties and that the assigned	necessary to perform their assigned	can only access IT resources that are	necessary to ensure that employees	reviews of access privileges are	performance funding. Periodic	data functions as it relates to	departments who perform critical	was not performed for seven	Additionally, a user access review	University data and IT resources.	modification, or destruction of	unauthorized disclosure,	access privileges increased the risk of	of the inappropriate or unnecessary	employee's job duties. The existence	to ensure access is consistent with an	improved review of access privileges	iRattler, indicating a need for an	IT access privileges existed within	Some inappropriate or unnecessary
														completed.	re-certification project will be	descriptions for roles. 2) An annual	segregation of duties and enhanced	matrix will be developed to include	needed for each level of duty. A	together to determine the access	users from the offices will work	1) It subject matter experts and core
																departments	applicable	with	collaboration	Services in	Technology	information
																						May-16
						_							to assist in the process.	consultant will be hired	year to complete. A	take approximately one	the corrective actions will	description and testing,	user's position	designated by the core	separating access as	Due to the magnitude of
																					corrected	Not
																						6/1/17

	× = ·		
Support	Internal Audit		800 Bed
	-		
were not timely researched and cleared. Timeliness could be improved through automating the reconciliation process.	prepared and approved timely and aged outstanding reconciling items	construction prior to preparing a solicitation for a project  * The University should follow established design build procedures or document reasons for selecting a different process, including reference checks  * The selection criteria should include a requirement that the contractor have experience in building similar types of structures  * The GMP should be finalized prior to obtaining final subcontractor bids  * An independent architect should be retained to review the cost estimates prepared by the design/builder  * The project manager should have a closer relationship with the contractor on a daily basis	construction process used for the 800 bed dormitory included the following:
determine the feasibility of electronically generating a list of outstanding checks.	bank reconciliations through downloading cancelled check files to		Develop and implement a construction manual to provide policies and procedures for designbuild contracts
	Administrative Services		Facilities Planning & Construction
	Ongoing		Ongoing
However, the project to automate the bank reconciliation process was not funded for the 2016-17 year.	made in timeliness in the reconciliation process.	the construction manual disclosed that the updated manual does not include policies and procedures for design build contracts.	The University has not had a design build construction project since the 800 bed
	corrected		corrected
	Ongoing		Ongoing

		reported to the JLAC.						
		result in the finding being						
		be reported, which will			University's web site.			
		in which the finding will			post textbooks timely on the			
		third consecutive report			University Registrar to identify and			
		audit. This will be the			Academic Deans, Bookstore, and			
		General's operational			coordinate efforts with the			
_		finding in the Auditor			with State law. The Provost will	with State law.		
		could be reported as a			posted on its web site in accordance	posted on the web site in accordance		General
		not been corrected and			to ensure that textbooks are timely	to ensure that textbooks are timely		Auditor
	corrected	noted. However, this has		Affairs	enhance its monitoring procedures	affordability monitoring procedures		108
Ongoing	Partially	Improvements have been   Partially	1-Aug-14	Academic	The University will continue to	Efforts to enhance textbook	Moderate	2014-

### Risk rating definitions

The following risk rating definitions are used in assessing the relative risk of internal audit observations and do not represent an opinion on the adequacy or effectiveness of internal controls. Responsible management is responsible for assessing whether the controls the University has implemented are adequate to meet its operational, compliance and financial reporting objectives.

- High: The potential impact on the operation (either in terms of dollars, error rate, or qualitative factors) could significantly affect the operation's ability to achieve its strategic objectives
- Medium: The potential impact on the operation (either in terms of dollars, error rate, or qualitative factors) could moderately affect the operation's ability to achieve its strategic objectives
- Low: The potential impact on the operation (either in terms of dollars, error rate, or qualitative factors) would not significantly affect the operation's ability to achieve its strategic objectives:

### Status of Athletic Department Corrective Action Plan and Budget

### **Athletic Department Budget Deficit Plan**

The 2013 and 2014 Auditor General operational audits noted auxiliary funds other than the Athletic fund paid for Athletic Department expenses, contrary to BOG Regulation 9.013. As a result, the athletic department has operated at a deficit for several years, and currently, the deficit totals \$7,014,034. To address the issue, the University has taken the following steps:

- Since detailed records were not maintained of which auxiliary fund paid for athletic department expenses, a reasonable estimate of the amount paid from each auxiliary fund was calculated for the years 2008-2015.
- Record a related payable of \$7,014,034 from athletics to the identified funds
- Establish a repayment plan with initial payment beginning in fiscal year 2016-2017 for a term extending 12 years with 0% interest
- Repayment is to be shared by the Athletic Department and the University's DSOs

### **Proposed Structure for Repayment to Auxiliary Funds**

Amount to be repaid \$7,014,034 Interest rate 0%

Periods	University Support from Direct Support Organization	Athletics Direct Repayment	Total
1	\$186,000.61	\$0.00	\$186,000.61
2	\$226,239.14	\$0.00	\$226,239.14
3	\$269,593.54	\$0.00	\$269,593.54
4	\$269,593.54	\$51,742.36	\$321,335.90
5	\$269,593.54	\$113,507.98	\$383,101.52
6	\$269,593.54	\$187,252.94	\$456,846.48
7	\$269,593.54	\$275,317.23	\$544,910.78
8	\$269,593.54	\$380,500.54	\$650,094.08
9	\$269,593.54	\$506,152.86	\$775,746.40
10	\$269,593.54	\$656,283.18	\$925,876.73
11	\$269,593.54	\$835,689.61	\$1,105,283.15
12	\$269,593.54	\$899,412.13	\$1,169,005.67
Total	\$3,108,175.15	\$3,905,858.83	\$7,014,034.00

### The Corrective actions/prevention plan includes:

- 1. Implement 3 year budget and cash balance review process to include:
  - A. Year 1 monthly reviews with BOG representative / President / CFO / AD /Chair of Athletics Committee.
  - B. Year 2 monthly reviews with budget office and CFO and quarterly reviews with President / CFO / AD / Chair of Athletics Committee.
  - C. Year 3 monthly reviews with budget office and CFO and quarterly reviews with President / CFO / AD / Board Chair.
- 2. Require CFO and President's approval of auxiliary transfers to athletics to ensure only allowed sources are used.
- 3. Restrict release of approved annual Athletics budget to 75% based on prior year's history of collected amounts until adequate revenues are realized.
- 4. Monthly reconciliation of P-Card purchases, open purchase orders, and vendor invoices.
- 5. Reduce team travel expenses.
- 6. Implement aggressive fundraising campaign from Athletics and DSO groups.
- 7. Request Board of Governors to conduct a study of SUS institutions on use of auxiliary finds and other funds to support Athletics.
- 8. Review and update operating guidelines for auxiliary enterprises to establish reporting and accountability requirements.
- 9. Track Expenses for spirit groups in separate auxiliary fund based on established budgets.